IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

AUSTIN EDWARD LIGHTFEATHER,

Plaintiff,

8:22CV359

VS.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

CLAUDIS HARTMAN, M.D., in his individual compacity; PATRICK CONDON, County attorney, in his individual compacity; CHRISTOPHER SIEFERT, County Attorney in his individual compacity; KRISTI EDGER, County Attorney in her individual compacity; NURSE MARK, In his individual compacity; NURSE LIZ, In her individual compacity; APRN WODIJO, NURSE AID AMY, in her individual compacity; STEVE WIENDAL, LMHP In his individual compacity; STEPHENIE, LMHP in her individual compacity; and DONAVIN, LMHP In her individual compacity;

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on its own motion. Plaintiff filed a Complaint, Filing No. 1, on October 17, 2022. However, Plaintiff failed to include the \$402.00 filing and administrative fees. Plaintiff has the choice of either submitting the \$402.00 filing and administrative fees to the Clerk's Office or submitting a request to proceed in forma

pauperis.¹ Failure to take either action within 30 days will result in the Court dismissing this case without further notice to Plaintiff.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff is directed to submit the \$402.00 fees to the Clerk's Office or submit a request to proceed in forma pauperis within 30 days. Failure to take either action will result in dismissal of this matter without further notice.
- The Clerk of the Court is directed to send to Plaintiff the Form AO240 ("Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit").
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this matter with the following text: **November 17, 2022**: Check for MIFP or payment.

Dated this 18th day of October, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

Joseph F. Bataillon

Senior United States District Court

F Betaill-

¹If Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") in this matter, he will be allowed to pay the Court's \$350 filing fee in installments. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *In re Tyler*, 110 F.3d 528, 529-30 (8th Cir. 1997). He would not be subject to the \$52.00 administrative fee assessed to non-IFP plaintiffs.